



# YONGQI TAO

Partner · Shanghai

+86 21 6263 5924

[yongqi.tao@fangdalaw.com](mailto:yongqi.tao@fangdalaw.com)

## PRACTICE AREA

MR. TAO SPECIALIZES IN REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION, INCLUDING GENERAL COMMERCIAL LITIGATION AND ARBITRATION, ESPECIALLY IN CORPORATE, CONSTRUCTION AND PRODUCT DISPUTES.

---

## Education

---

- Shanghai Jiaotong University  
KoGuan Law School, LL.B, 2013
- Georgetown Law Center, LL.M,  
2014

## Professional Qualification

---

- Admitted to practice in the PRC
- Admitted to practice in New York

## REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS AND CASES

- Represented a Middle Eastern sovereign wealth fund involved in a joint venture in two derivative actions against the Chinese joint venture partner, the directors appointed by that joint venture partner, and the joint venture partner's affiliated companies
  - Represented a Hong Kong investment company in a CIETAC arbitration against its Chinese joint venture partner arising from a dispute over the performance of their equity transfer agreement
  - Represented a famous Chinese automotive manufacturer in litigation against the contractor of a new automotive assembly plant, included preparing expert witnesses and analyzing complex technical issues
  - Represented a Hong Kong-listed state-owned water treatment company in a CIETAC arbitration against the contractor of a new water treatment plant
  - Represented a foreign luxury car brand in multiple litigations and arbitrations filed against it and its authorized dealers by 139 customers in more than 70 courts and arbitral institutes claiming around RMB 400 million in total damages (including punitive damages) for false advertising of the brand's vehicle function features
  - Represented a US equipment supplier in several litigations filed by its dealers and end users claiming product defects, and advised on the supplier's announced product recall
  - Advised the US counsel of a China-based US-listed company on evidence collection and PRC law to support the client and its directors in a US class action arising from misrepresentation
-